Application for United States Tetters Patent

To all whom it may concern:

Be it known that we, Thomas M. Jessell, James Briscoe, Johan Ericson, John L.R. Rubenstein, and Maike Sander

have invented certain new and useful improvements in

GENETIC DEMONSTRATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR NKX6.1 AND NKX2.2 IN VENTRAL
NEURON GENERATION

of which the following is a full, clear and exact description.

GENETIC DEMONSTRATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR NKX6.1 AND NKX2.2 IN VENTRAL GENERATION

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Serial No. 09/569,259, filed May 11, 2000, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference into the present application.

Throughout this application, various references are referred to within parentheses. Disclosures of these publications in their entireties are hereby incorporated by reference into this application to more fully describe the state of the art to which this invention pertains. Full bibliographic citation for these references may be found at the end of this application, preceding the claims.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

During the development of the embryonic central nervous that specify regional the mechanisms system (CNS) intimately linked and neuronal fate are identity 1997; Lumsden and Krumlauf 1996; (Anderson et al. Rubenstein et al. 1998). In the ventral half of the CNS, for example, the secreted factor Sonic hedgehog (Shh) has a fundamental role in controlling both regional pattern and neuronal fate (Tanabe and Jessell 1996; Ericson et al. 19976; Hammerschmidth et al. 1997). Shh appears to function as a gradient signal. In the spinal cord, five distinct classes of neurons can be generated in vitro in threefold changes the to to tworesponse

5

10

20

25

concentration of Shh, and the position at which each neuronal class is generated in vivo is predicted by the concentration required for their induction in vivo (Ericson et al. 1997a; Briscoe et al. 2000). Thus, neurons generated in more ventral regions of the neural tube require progressively higher concentrations of Shh for their induction.

The genetic programs activated in neural progenitor cells

incompletely defined. Emerging evidence suggests that

homeobox genes function as critical intermediaries in the

neural response to Shh signals (Lumsden and Krumlauf

1996; Tanabe and Jessell 1996; Ericson et al. 1997;

signaling,

however,

remain

Shh

to

10

in

response

5

<u>"</u>

20

25

Hammerschmidt et al. 1997; Rubenstein et al. homeobox Several genes are expressed by ventral progenitor cells, and their expression is regulated by Shh. Gain-of-function studies on homeobox gene action in chick neural tube have provided evidence that the homeodomain proteins are critical for the interpretation graded Shh signaling and that they function to delineate progenitor domains and control neuronal subtype identity (Briscoe et al. 2000). Consistent with these findings, the pattern of generation of neuronal subtypes in the basal telencephalon and in the ventral-most region spinal cord is perturbed in mice carrying certain Shh-regulated homeobox mutations in (Ericson et al. 1997; Sussel et al. 1999; Pierani et al., unpublished).

30

Members of the Nkx class of homeobox genes are expressed

10

20

25

by progenitor cells along the entire rostro-caudal axis of the ventral neural tube, and their expression is dependent on Shh signaling (Rubenstein and Beachy 1998). Mutation in the Nkx2.1 or Nkx2.2 genes leads to defects in ventral neural pattering (Briscoe et al. 1999; Sussel et al. 1999), raising the possibility that Nkx genes play a key role in the control of ventral pattering in the ventral region of the CNS. Genetic studies to assess the role of Nkx genes have, however, focused on only the most ventral region of the neural tube. A recently identified Nkx gene, Nkx6.1, is expressed more widely by most progenitor cells within the ventral neural tube (Pabst et al. 1998; Qiu et al. 1998; Briscoe et al. suggesting that it may have a prominent role in ventral neural patterning. Here experiments show that in mouse embryos Nkx6.1 is expressed by ventral progenitors that give rise to motor (MN), V2, and V3 neurons. carrying a null mutation of Nkx6.1 exhibit a ventral-todorsal switch in the identity of progenitor cells and a corresponding switch in the identity of the neuronal subtype that emerges from the ventral neural tube. generation of MN and V2 neurons is markedly reduced, and there is a ventral expansion in the generation of a more Together, these findings dorsal V1 neuronal subtype. indicate that Nkx6.1 has a critical role specification of MN and V2 neuron subtype identity and, more generally, that Nkx genes play a role in the interpretation of graded Shh signaling.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention provides a method of converting a stem cell into a ventral neuron which comprises introducing into the stem cell a nucleic acid which expresses homeodomain transcription factor Nkx6.1 protein in the stem cell so as to thereby convert the stem cell into the ventral neuron.

This invention also provides a method of diagnosing a motor neuron degenerative disease in a subject which comprises: a) obtaining a nucleic acid sample from the subject; b) sequencing the nucleic acid sample; and c) comparing the nucleic acid sequence of step (b) with a Nkx6.1 nucleic acid sequence from a subject without motor neuron degenerative disease, wherein a difference in the nucleic acid sequence of step (b) from the Nkx6.1 nucleic acid sequence from the subject without motor neuron degenerative disease indicates that the subject has the motor neuron degenerative disease.

This invention provides a method of diagnosing a motor neuron degenerative disease in a subject which comprises:

a) obtaining a nucleic acid sample from the subject; b) performing a restriction digest of the nucleic acid sample with a panel of restriction enzymes; c) separating the resulting nucleic acid fragments by size fractionation; d) hybridizing the resulting separated nucleic acid fragments with a nucleic acid probe(s) of at least 15 nucleotide capable of specifically hybridizing with a unique sequence included within the sequence of a

10

5

15

20

25

nucleic acid molecule encoding a human Nkx6.1 protein, wherein the sequence of the nucleic acid probe is labeled with a detectable marker, and hybridization of the nucleic acid probe(s) with the separated nucleic acid fragments results in labeled probe-fragment bands; e) labeled probe-fragment bands, wherein the detecting labeled probe-fragment bands have a band pattern specific to the nucleic acid of the subject; and f) comparing the band pattern of the detected labeled probe-fragment bands of step (d) with a previously determined control sample, wherein the control sample has a unique band pattern specific to the nucleic acid of a subject having the motor neuron degenerative disease, wherein identity of the band pattern of the detected labeled probe-fragment bands of step (d) to the control sample indicates that the subject has the motor neuron degenerative disease.

This invention provides a method of treating neuronal degeneration in a subject which comprises implanting in diseased neural tissue of the subject a neural stem cell which comprises an isolated nucleic acid molecule which is capable of expressing homeodomain Nkx6.1 protein under conditions such that the stem cell is converted into a after implantation, thereby treating neuron neuronal degeneration in the subject.

10

5

20

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

(Figs.

Figures 1A-1U Selective changes in homeobox gene expression in ventral progenitor cells in Nkx6.1 mutant embryos.

1A-1C)

transverse sections of the ventral neural tube of mouse embryos E9.5. (Fig. 1A) Expression of Nkx6.1 is prominent ventral progenitor cells and persists in some post-mitotic motor neurons at both caudal hindbrain, E10.5, (Fig. 1B) and spinal cord, E12.5, (Fig. 1C) levels. (Fig. 1D, and 1E) Summary diagrams showing domains of homeobox gene expression in wild-type mouse embryos (Fig. 1D) and the change in pattern of expression of these genes in Nkx6.1 mutants (Fig. 1E), based on analyses at E10.0 - E12.5. (Figs. 1Fof the domains Comparison expression of Nkx6.1 (Figs. 1F, 1J) Dbx2 (Figs. 1G, 1H, 1K, 1L) and Gsh1 (Figs. 1I, 1M) in the caudal neural tube of wild-type (Figs. 1F-1I) and Nkx6.1 mutant (Figs. 1J-1H) embryos. (Fig. 1J) Horizontal lines, approximate position of dorsoventral boundary of the neural tube; vertical lines, expression of Dbx2 and Gsh1. Expression of Sonic hedgehog, Shh (Figs.

1R), Pax7 (Figs.

(Figs. 10, 1S), Pax6 (Figs. 1P, 1S), Dbx1

(Figs. 1P, 1T) and Nkx2.9 (Figs. 1Q, 1U)

1N, 1R),

Expression of Nkx6.1

5

10

15

io in

ľU

25

in wild-type (Figs. 1N-1Q) or -Nkx6.1 mutant (Figs. 1R-1U) embryos at spinal (Figs 1N-1P, 1R-1T) and caudal hindbrain levels (Figs 1Q, 1U). Arrowheads, approximate position of the dorsal limit of Nkx6.1 expression. Scale bar shown in $J = 100 \mu m$ (Figs. 1A-1C); $50 \mu m$ (Figs. 1F-1M) or $60\mu m$ (Figs. 1N-1U).

10

5

Figure 2A-2T. Disruption of motor neuron differentiation in Nkx6.1 mutant embryos.

20

25

30

The relationship between the domain of Nkx6.1 expression (Figs. 2A-2C, green) by ventral progenitors and the position of generation of motor neurons and V2 interneurons (Figs. 2A-2D) in the ventral spinal cord of E10.5 wild-type embryos. (Fig. 2A) Isl1/2 motor neurons; (Fig. 2B) HB9 motor neurons; (Fig. 2C) Lhx3 (Lim3) expression (red) by motor neurons, interneurons and their progenitors is confined to the Nkx6.1 progenitor domain. (Fig. 2D) Chx10 (green) V2 interneurons coexpress Lhx3 (red). Expression of Isl1/2 (Figs. 2E, 2I), HB9 (Figs. 2F, 2J), Lhx3 (Figs. 2G, 2K) and Phox2a/b (Figs. 2H, 2L) in the ventral spinal cord (Figs. 2E, 2F, 2G) and caudal hindbrain (Fig. 2H) E10.5 wild-type (Figs. 2E-2H) of mutant (Figs. 2I-2L) embryos. Pattern of expression of Isl1/2 and Lhx3 at cervical (Figs. 2M, 2N, 2Q, 2R) and thoracic (Figs. 2O, 2P, 2S, 2T) levels of E12.5 wild-type (Figs. 2M-2P) and Nkx6.1 mutant (Figs. 2Q-2T) embryos. Arrows, position of Isl1 dorsal D2 interneurons. (Figs. 10Q-10T) Absence, position of Isl1/2 dorsal D2 interneurons. Scale bar shown in I = 60μ m (Figs. 2A-2D); 80μ m (Figs. 2E-2L); 120μ m (Figs. 2M-2T).

10

5

Figures 3A-

Motor neuron subtype differentiation in Nkx6.1 mutant mice.

Depletion of both median motor column and lateral motor column (LMC) neurons in Nkx6.1 mutant mice. Expression of Isl1/2 (red) and Lxh3 (green) in E12.5 wilt-type (Figs. 3A, 3C) and Nkx6.1 mutant (Figs. 3B, 3D) mice spinal cord at forelimb levels (Figs. 3E-3J). Motor neuron generation at caudal hindbrain level 3E, 3F) *Nkx6.1* expression (Figs. progenitor cells and visceral motor in the caudal neurons hindbrain (rhombomere [r] 7/8) of E10.5-E11 wildtype (Fig. 3E) Nkx6.1 mutant (Fig. 3F) mice. HB9 expression in hypoglossal motor neurons in E10.5-E11 wild-type mice (Fig. 3G) and Nkx6.1 mutant (Fig. 3H) mice. Coexpression of Isl1 (green) and Phox2a/b (red) in wild-type (Fig. 3I) or Nkx6.1 mutant (Fig. 3J) mice. (h) hypoglossal motor neurons; (v) visceral vagal motor

25

neurons. Scale bar shown in $C = 50 \mu m$ (Figs. 3A-3D) or $70 \mu m$ (Figs. 3E-3J).

Figures 4A-4L

A switch in ventral interneuron fates in Nkx6.1 mutant mice.

Chx10 expression in V2 neurons at rostral cervical levels of E10.5 wild-type (Fig. 4A) and Nkx6.1 mutant (Fig. 4B) embryos. En1 expression by V1 neurons at rostral cervical levels of wild-type (Fig. 4C) and Nkx6.1 mutant (Fig. 4D) embryos. expression in a set of interneurons that includes V1 neurons ((Burrill et al. 1997) at caudal hindbrain levels of wild-type (Fig. 4E) and Nkx6.1 mutant (Fig. 4F) embryos. (Figs. 4G and 4H) Sim1 expression by V3 neurons in the cervical spinal cord of wild-type (Fig. 4G) and Nkx6.1 mutant (Fig. 4H) embryos. Evxl expression by V0 neurons at caudal hindbrain levels of wild-type (Fig. 4I) and Nkx6.1 mutant embryos. En1 (red) and Lhx3 (Fig. 4J) (green) expression by separate populations in the ventral spinal cord of Ell wild-type (Fig. 4K) and Nkx6.1 mutant (Fig. 4L) embryos. Scale bar shown in B = $60\mu m$ (Figs. 4A-4D); $75\mu m$ (Figs. 4E, 4F); $70\mu\text{m}$ (Figs. 4G, 4J, 4H, 4J), $35\mu\text{m}$ (Figs. 4K and 4L).

30

Figure 5A-5B Changes in progenitor domain identity and neuronal fate in the spinal cord of Nkx6.1

10

5

2 - 2 -

☐ ☐ 20

mutant embryos.

(Fig. 5A). In wild-type mouse embryos, cells in the Nkx6.1 progenitor domain give rise to three classes of ventral neurons: V2 neurons, motor neurons (MN) and V3 neurons. V3 neurons derive from cells in the ventral most region of Nkx6.1expression that also express Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9. V1 neurons derive from progenitor cells that express Dbx2 but not Nkx6.1. (Fig. 5B). In Nkx6.1 mutant embryos the domain of Dbx2 expression by progenitor cells expands ventrally, and by embyonic 12 [E12] occupies dav the entire dorsoventral extent of the ventral neural tube, excluding the floor plate. Checked indicates the gradual onset ventral *Dbx2* expression. This ventral shift in Dbx2 expression is associated with a marked decrease in the generation of V2 neurons and motor neurons and a ventral expansion in the domain of generation of V1 neurons. A virtually complete loss of MN and V2 neurons is observed at cervical levels of the spinal cord. The generation of V3 neurons (and cranial visceral motor neurons at hindbrain levels) is unaffected by the loss of Nkx6.1 orby the ectopic expression of Dbx2.

5

10

20

25

3.0



Ü /U 15

Human Homeobox Protein Nkx6.1. NCBI Accession Figure 6 (Inoue, A. et al., P78426. "Isolation, characterization, and chromosomal mapping of the human Nkx6.1 gene (NKX6a), a new pancreatic islet homeobox gene" Genomics 40(2):367-370, 1997). Amino acid sequence of human homeobox protein Nkx6.1.

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9

Human NK Homeobox Protein (Nkx6.1) gene, exon 1. NCBI Accession No. U6/797. Segment 1 of 3 et al., /"Isolation, (Inoue, Η. characterization, and chromosomal mapping of the human Nkx6.1 gene (NKX6a), a new pancreatic islet homeobox gene"/Genomics 40(2):367-370, 1997). Nucleic acid sequence encoding human homeobox protein Nkx6.1, bases 1-682.

Human NK Homeobox Protein (Nkx6.1) gene, exon 2. NCBI Accession No. U66798. Segment (Inoue, Н. et al.,/ "Isolation, characterization, and chromosomal mapping of the human Nkx6.1 gene (NKX6a), a new pancreatic islet homeobox gene Genomics 40(2):367-370, 1997). Nucleic aciá sequence encoding human homeobox protein Wkx6.1, bases 1-185.

25

20

Human NK Homeobox Protein (Nkx6.1) gene, exon 3 and complete cds. NCBI Accession No. U66799. Segment 3 of 3 (In vue, H. et al., "Isolation, character-ization, and chromosomal mapping of the human Nkx6 / gene (NKX6a), a new pancreatic

islet homeobox gene" Genomics 40(2):367-370, 1997). Nucleic acid sequence encoding human homeobox protein Nkx6.1, bases 1-273. Protein encoded is shown in Fig. 7.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

This invention provides a method of converting a stem cell into a ventral neuron which comprises introducing into the stem cell a nucleic acid which expresses homeodomain transcription factor Nkx6.1 protein in the stem cell so as to thereby convert the stem cell into the ventral neuron.

In an embodiment of the above-described method of of converting a stem cell into a ventral neuron, the nucleic acid introduced into the stem cell incorporates into the chromosomal DNA of the stem cell. In a further embodiment of the method, the nucleic acid is introduced by transfection or transduction. In another further embodiment of the method, the ventral neuron is a motor neuron, a V2 neuron or a V3 neuron.

This invention provides a method of diagnosing a motor neuron degenerative disease in a subject which comprises:

a) obtaining a nucleic acid sample from the subject; b) sequencing the nucleic acid sample; and c) comparing the nucleic acid sequence of step (b) with a Nkx6.1 nucleic acid sequence from a subject without motor neuron degenerative disease, wherein a difference in the nucleic acid sequence of step (b) from the Nkx6.1 nucleic acid sequence from the subject without motor neuron degenerative disease indicates that the subject has the motor neuron degenerative disease.

In an embodiment of the above-described method of

10

5

15

20

25

diagnosing a motor neuron degenerative disease in a subject the motor neuron degenerative disease is amyotrophic lateral sclerosis or spinal muscular atrophy.

5

10

15

20

25

30

This invention provides a method of diagnosing a motor neuron degenerative disease in a subject which comprises: a) obtaining a nucleic acid sample from the subject; b) performing a restriction digest of the nucleic acid sample with a panel of restriction enzymes; c) separating the resulting nucleic acid fragments by size fractionation; d) hybridizing the resulting separated nucleic acid fragments with a nucleic acid probe(s) of at least 15 nucleotide capable of specifically hybridizing with a unique sequence included within the sequence of a nucleic acid molecule encoding a human Nkx6.1 protein, wherein the sequence of the nucleic acid probe is labeled with a detectable marker, and hybridization of the nucleic acid probe(s) with the separated nucleic acid fragments results in labeled probe-fragment bands; e) labeled probe-fragment bands, wherein the labeled probe-fragment bands have a band pattern specific to the nucleic acid of the subject; and f) comparing the band pattern of the detected labeled probe-fragment bands of step (d) with a previously determined control sample, wherein the control sample has a unique band pattern specific to the nucleic acid of a subject having the motor neuron degenerative disease, wherein identity of the band pattern of the detected labeled probe-fragment bands of step (d) to the control sample indicates that the subject has the motor neuron degenerative disease.

In embodiment of the above-described method an diagnosing a motor neuron degenerative disease in a subject the nucleic acid is DNA. In a further embodiment of the above-described method the nucleic acid is RNA. In another embodiment the size fractionation in step (c) is effected by a polyacrylamide or agarose gel. another embodiment the detectable marker is radioactive isotope, enzyme, dye, biotin, a fluorescent label or a chemiluminescent label. In yet another embodiment the motor neuron degenerative disease is amyotrophic lateral sclerosis or spinal muscular atrophy.

This invention provides a method of treating neuronal degeneration in a subject which comprises implanting in diseased neural tissue of the subject a neural stem cell which comprises an isolated nucleic acid molecule which is capable of expressing homeodomain Nkx6.1 protein under conditions such that the stem cell is converted into a motor neuron after implantation, thereby treating neuronal degeneration in the subject.

This invention will be better understood from the Experimental Details which follow. However, one skilled in the art will readily appreciate that the specific methods and results discussed are merely illustrative of the invention as described more fully in the claims which follow thereafter.

5

20

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Materials and Methods

Generation of Nkx6.1 null mutation

5

10

25

20

30

A null mutation in Nkx6.1 was generated by using gene targeting in 129-strain ES cells by excising an 800-bp NotI fragment containing part of exon 1 and replacing it by a PGK-neo cassette (Sander and German, Mutants were born at Mendelian frequency and died soon after birth; they exhibited movements only upon tactile stimulation.

Immunocytochemistry and in situ hybridization

Localization of mRNA performed was by in hybridization following the method of Schaeren-Wiemers and Gerfin-Moser (1993). The Dbx2 riboprobe comprised the EcoR1 fragment of the mouse cDNA (Pierani et al. 1999). Probes for other cDNAs were cited in the text and described therein. used as Protein expression localized by indirect fluorescence immunocytochemistry or peroxidase immunocytochemistry (Briscoe et al. Ericson et al. 1997). Nkx6.1 was detected with a rabbit antiserum (Briscoe et al. 1999). Antisera against Shh, Pax7, Isl1/2, HB9, Lhx3, Chx10, Phox2a/b, En1, and Pax2 have been described (Briscoe et al. 1999; Ericson et al. 1997). Fluorescence detection was carried out using an MRC 1024 Confocal Microscope (BioRad).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To define the role of Nkx6.1 in neural development, we compared patterns of neurogenesis in the embryonic spinal cord and hindbrain of wild-type mice and mice lacking Nkx6.1 (Sander et al. 1998). In wild-type embryos, neural expression of Nkx6.1 is first detected at spinal cord and caudal hindbrain levels at about embryonic day (E8.5; Qiu et al. 1998; data not shown), and by E9.5 the gene is expressed throughout the ventral third of the neural tube (Figure 1A). The expression of Nkx6.1 persists until at least E12.5 (Figures 1B, 1C; data not shown). Nkx6.1expression was also detected mesodermal cells flanking the ventral spinal cord (Figures 1B, 1C). To define more precisely the domain of expression of Nkx6.1, we compared its expressions with that of ten homeobox genes - Pax3, Pax7, Gsh1, Gsh2, Irx3, Pax6, Dbx1, Dbx1, Dbx2 and Nkx2.9 - that have been shown to define discrete progenitor cell domains along dorsoventral axis of the ventral neural (Goulding et al. 1991; Valerius et al. 1995; Ericson et al. 1997; Pierani et al. 1999; Briscoe et al. 2000).

This analysis revealed that the dorsal boundary of Nkx6.1 expression is positioned ventral to the boundaries of four genes expressed by dorsal progenitor cells: Pax3, Pax7, Gsh1 and Gsh2 (Figures 1I, 1N; and data not shown). Within the ventral neural tube, the dorsal boundary of Nkx6.1 expression is positioned ventral to the domain of Dbx1 expression and close to the ventral boundary of Dbx2 expression (Figures 1G, 1H, and 1P). The domain of Pax6 expression extends ventrally into the domain of Nkx6.1

10

5

15

...

20

25

expression (Figure 10), whereas the expression of Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9 overlaps with the ventral-most domain of Nkx6.1 expression (Figures 10, 10).

5

10

15

20

25

30

To address the function of Nkx6.1 in neural development, we analyzed progenitor cell identity and the pattern of neuronal differentiation in Nkx6.1 null mutant mice (Sander et al. 1998). We detected a striking change in the profile of expression of three homeobox genes, Dbx2, Gsh1 and Gsh2, in Nkx6.1 mutants. The domains of expression of Dbx2, Gsh1 and Gsh2 each expanded into the ventral neural tube (Figures 1K-1M; data not shown). At E10.5, Dbx2 was expressed at high levels by progenitor cells adjacent to the floor plate, but at this stage ectopic Dbx2 expression was detected only at low levels in regions of the neural tube that generate motor neurons By E12.5, however, the ectopic ventral (Figure 1K). expression of Dbx2 had become more uniform, and now clearly included the region of motor neuron and V2 neuron generation (Figure 1L). Similarly, in Nkx6.1 mutants, both Gsh1 and Gsh2 were ectopically expressed in a ventral domain of the neural tube, and also in adjacent paraxial mesodermal cells (Figure 1M; data not shown).

The ventral limit of Pax6 expression was unaltered in Nkx6.1 mutants, although the most ventrally located cells within this progenitor domain expressed a higher level of Pax6 protein than those in wild-type embryos (Figures 10, 1S). We detected no change in the patterns of expression of Pax3, Pax7, Dbx1, Irx3, Nkx2.2, or Nkx2.9 in Nkx6.1 mutant embryos (Figures 1R-1U; data not shown).

Importantly, the level of Shh expression by floor plate cells was unaltered in Nkx6.1 mutants (Figures 1N and Thus, the loss of Nkx6.1 function deregulates the patterns of expression of a selected subset of homeobox genes in ventral progenitor cells, without an obvious effect on Shh levels (Figures 1D, 1E). The role of Shh in excluding Dbx2 from the most ventral region of the neural tube (Pierani et al. 1999) appears therefore to be mediated through the induction of Nkx6.1 expression. Consistent with this view, ectopic expression of Nkx6.1 represses Dbx2 expression in chick neural tube (Briscoe et al. 2000). The detection of sites of ectopic Gsh1/2 expression in the paraxial mesoderm as well as the ventral neural tube, both sites of Nkx6.1 expression, suggests that Nkx6.1 has a general role in restricting Gsh1/2 expression. The signals that promote ventral Gsh1/2 expression in Nkx6.1 mutants remain unclear, but could involve factors other than Shh that are secreted by

20

25

The domain of expression of Nkx6.1 within the ventral of wild-type embryos encompasses neural tube the progenitors of three main neuronal classes: V2 interneurons, motor neurons and V3 interneurons (Goulding et al. 1991; Ericson et al. 1997; Qiu et al. Briscoe et a. 1999, 2000; Pierani et al. 1999; Figures We examined whether the generation of any of these neuronal classes is impaired in Nkx6.1 mutants, focusing first on the generation of motor neurons. Nkx6.1 mutant embryos there was a marked reduction in the number of spinal motor neurons, as assessed by expression

the notochord (Hebrok et al. 1998).

5

10

10

of the homeodomain proteins Lhx3, Isl1/2 and HB9 (Arber et al. 1999; Tsuchida et al. 1994; Figures 2E-2L), and by expression of the gene encoding the transmitter synthetic enzyme choline acetyltransferase (data not shown). addition, few if any axons were observed to emerge from the ventral spinal cord (data not shown). The incidence of motor neuron loss, however, varied along rostrocaudal axis of the spinal cord. Few if any motor neurons were detected at caudal cervical and upper thoracic levels of Nkx6.1 mutants analyzed at E11-E12.5 (Figures 2M, 2N, 2Q, 2R), whereas motor neuron number was reduced only by 50%-75% at more caudal levels (Figures 20, 2P, 2S, 2T; data not shown). At all axial levels, the initial reduction in motor neuron number persisted at both E12.5 and p0 (Figures 2M-2T; data not shown), indicating that the loss of Nkx6.1 activity does not simply delay motor neuron generation. Moreover, we detected no increase in the incidence of TUNEL+ cells in Nkx6.1 mutants (data not shown), providing evidence that the depletion of motor neurons does not result solely

The persistence of some spinal motor neurons in Nkx6.1 mutants raised the possibility that the generation of particular subclasses of motor neurons is selectively impaired. To address this issue, we monitored the expression of markers of distinct subtypes of motor neurons at both spinal and hindbrain levels of Nkx6.1 At spinal levels, the extent of the mutant embryos. reduction in the generation of motor neurons that populate the median (MMC) and lateral (LMC) motor columns

from apoptotic death.

10

5

15

20

25

was similar in Nkx6.1 mutants, as assessed by the number motor neurons that coexpressed Isl1/2 and (defining MMC neurons, Figures 3A, 3B) and by the expression of Raldh2 (defining LMC neurons, Sockanathan and Jessell 1998; Arber et al. 1999; Figures 3C, 3D). addition, the generation of autonomic visceral motor neurons was reduced to an extent similar to that of somatic motor neurons at thoracic levels of the spinal cord of E12.5 embryos (data not shown). Thus, the loss of Nkx6.1 activity depletes the major subclasses of spinal motor neurons to a similar extent.

Αt hindbrain levels, Nkx6.1is expressed by progenitors of both somatic and visceral motor neurons (Figures 3E, 3F; data not shown). We therefore examined whether the loss of Nkx6.1 might selectively affect subsets of cranial motor neurons. We detected a virtually complete loss in the generation of hypoglossal and abducens somatic motor neurons in Nkx6.1 mutants, as assessed by the absence of dorsally generated HB9+ motor neurons (Figures 3G, 3H; data not shown, Arber et al. 1999; Briscoe et al. 1999). In contrast, there was no change in the initial generation of any of the cranial assessed neuron populations, motor visceral coexpression of Isl1 and Phox2a (Briscoe et al. 1999; Pattyn et al. 1997) within ventrally generated motor neurons (Figures 3I, 3J; data not shown). Moroever, at rostral cervical levels, the generation of accessory motor neurons (Ericson et al. 1997) was also preserved in Nkx6.1 mutants (data not shown). Thus, in the hindbrain the loss of Nkx6.1 activity selectively

GDEGUUGE DOBE

15

5

10

20

25

eliminates the generation of somatic motor neurons, while leaving visceral motor neurons intact. Cranial visceral motor neurons, unlike spinal visceral motor neurons, derive from progenitors that express the related Nkx genes Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9 (Briscoe et al. 1999). The preservation of cranial visceral motor neurons in Nkx6.1 mutant embryos may therefore reflect the dominant activities of Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9 within these progenitor cells.

10

15

5

We next examined whether the generation of ventral interneurons is affected by the loss of Nkx6.1 activity. V2 and V3 interneurons are defined, respectively, by expression of Chx10 and Sim1 (Arber et al. 1999; Briscoe et al. 1999; Figures 4A, 4G). A severe loss of Chx10 V2 neurons was detected in Nkx6.1 mutants at spinal cord levels (Figure 4B), although at hindbrain levels of Nkx6.1 mutants ~50% of V2 neurons persisted (data not shown). In contrast, there was no change in the generation of Sim1 V3 interneurons at any axial level of Nkx6.1 mutants (Figure 4H). Thus, the elimination of Nkx6.1 activity affects the generation of only one of the two major classes of ventral interneurons that derive from the Nkx6.1 progenitor cell domain.

20

Evx1*, Pax2* V1 interneurons derive from progenitor cells located dorsal to the *Nkx6.1* progenitor domain, (Figure 4B) within a domain that expresses *Dbx2*, but not *Dbx1* (Burrill et al. 1997; Matise and Joyner 1997; Pierani et al. 1999). Because *Dbx2* expression undergoes a marked ventral expansion in *Nkx6.1* mutants, we examined whether

25

10

15

|.4

20

25

30

there might be a corresponding expansion in the domain of generation of V1 neurons. In Nkx6.1 mutants, the region that normally gives rise to V2 neurons and motor neurons now also generated V1 neurons, as assessed by the ventral shift in expression of the En1 and Pax2 homeodomain proteins (Figures 4B, 4C, 4E, 4F). Consistent with this, there was a two- to threefold increase in the total number of V1 neurons generated in Nkx6.1 mutants (Figures 4C, 4D). In contrast, the domain of generation of Evx1/2V0 neurons, which derive from the Dbx1 progenitor domain (Pierani et al. 1999), was unchanged in Nkx6.1 mutants (Figures 4I, 4J). Thus, the ventral expansion in Dbx2 expression is accompanied by a selective switch interneuronal fates, from V2 neurons to V1 neurons. addition, we observed that some neurons within ventral spinal cord of Nkx6.1 mutants coexpressed the V1 marker En1 and the V2 marker Lhx3 (Figures 4K, 4L). coexpression of these markers is rarely if ever observed in single neurons in wild type embryos (Ericson et al. Thus, within individual neurons mutants, the ectopic program of V1 neurogenesis appears to be initiated in parallel with a residual, transient, program of V2 neuron generation. This result complements observations in Hb9 mutant mice, in which the programs of V2 neuron and motor neuron generation coincide transiently within individual neurons (Arber et al. 1999; Thaler et al. 1999).

Taken together, the findings herein reveal an essential role for the *Nkx6.1* homeobox gene in the specification of regional pattern and neuronal fate in the ventral half of

the mammalian CNS. Within the broad ventral domain within

which Nkx6.1 is expressed (Figure 5A), its activity is required to promote motor neuron and V2 interneuron generation and to restrict the generation of V1 interneurons (Figure 5B). It is likely that the loss of motor neurons and V2 neurons is a direct consequence of the loss of Nkx6.1 activity, as the depletion of these two neuronal subtypes is evident at stages when only low levels of Dbx2 are expressed ectopically in most regions of the ventral neural tube. Nonetheless, it can not be excluded that low levels of ectopic ventral Dbx2 expression could contribute to the block in motor neuron generation. Consistent with this view, the ectopic expression of Nkx6.1 is able to induce both motor neurons and V2 neurons in chick neural tube (Briscoe et al. 2000). V3 interneurons and cranial visceral motor neurons derive from a set of Nkx6.1 progenitors that also express Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9 (Briscoe et al. 1999, Figure 5A). generation of these two neuronal subtypes is unaffected by the loss of Nkx6.1 activity, suggesting that the actions of Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9 dominate over that of Nkx6.1

25

The role of *Nkx6.1* revealed in these studies, taken together with previous findings, suggests a model in which the spatially restricted expression of *Nkx* genes within the ventral neural tube (Figure 5) has a pivotal role in defining the identity of ventral cell types

within these progenitors. The persistence of some spinal

motor neurons and V2 neurons in Nkx6.1 mutants could

reflect the existence of a functional homologue within

the caudal neural tube.

5

10

20

induced in response to graded Shh signaling. Strikingly, in Drosophila, the Nkx gene NK2 has been shown to have an equivalent role in specifying neuronal fates in the ventral nerve cord (Chu et al. 1998; McDonald et al. 1998). Moreover, the ability of Nkx6.1 to function as a repressor of the dorsally expressed Gsh1/2 homeobox genes parallels the ability of Drosophila NK2 to repress Ind, a Gsh1/2-like homeobox gene (Weiss et al. 1998). the evolutionary origin of regional pattern along the dorsoventral axis of the central nervous system may predate the divergence of invertebrate and vertebrate organisms.

10

5

15

13

13

25

- 1. S.A. Anderson, D.D. Eisenstat, L. Shi, J.L. Rubenstein, *Science* **278**:474-476 (1997).
- S. Arber, B. Han, M. Mendelsohn, M. Smith, T.M.
 Jessell, S. Sockanathan, Neuron 23:659-674 (1999).
- 3. J. Briscoe, et al., Nature 398:622-627 (1999).
- 4. J. Briscoe et al., Cell 101:435-445 (2000).
- 5. J.D. Burrill, L. Moran, M.D. Goulding, H. Saueressig, Development 124:4493-4503 (1997).
- 6. H. Chu; C. Parras; K. White; F. Jimenez, Genes & Dev. 12:3613-3624 (1998).
- 7. J. Ericson, et al., Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. **62**:451-466 (1997a).
- 8. J. Ericson et al., Cell 87:661-673 (1996).
- 9. J. Ericson, et al., Cell. 90:169-180 (1997b).
- 10. M.D. Goulding et al., EMBO J. 10:1135-47 (1991).
- 11. M. Hammerschmidt, A. Brook, A.P. McMahon *Trends*Genet. 13:14-21 (1997)
- 12. M. Hebrok, S.K. Kim, D.A. Melton, Genes & Dev. 12:

10

5

20

30

1705-1713 (1998).

- 13. A. Lumsden, R., and Krumlauf, R. Science 274: 1109-1115 (1996).
- 14. M.P. Matise, A.L. Joyner, *J. Neurosci*. **17**:7805-7816 (1998).
- O. Pabst, H. Herbrand, H. H. Arnold, Mech. Dev. 73:
 85-93 (1998).
- 17. A. Pattyn, X. Morin, H. Cremer, C. Goridis, J.F. Brunet, *Development* 124:4065-4075 (1997).
- 18. A. Pierani, S. Brenner-Morton, C. Chiang, T. M.
 Jessell, Cell 97:903-915 (1999).
- 19. M. Qiu, K. Shimamura, L. Sussel, S. Chen, J. L. Rubenstein, Mech. Dev. 72:77-88 (1998).
- 20. J.L. Rubenstein and Beachy, P.A. Curr. Opin.

 Neurobiol. 8:18-26 (1998).
- 21. J.L. Rubenstein et al., *Annu Rev Neurosci*. **21**:445-477 (1998).
- 22. Sander, M. et al. Keystone symposium on vertebrate

20

25

5

10

development. Steamboat Springs, Colorado (1998).

- 23. Schaeren-Wiemers, N. and Gerlin-Moser, A. Histochemistry 100:431-440 (1993).
- 24. Sockanathan, S. and Jessell, T.M. Cell **94**:503-514 (1998).
- 25. L. Sussel, O. Marin, S. Kimura, J.L. Rubenstein, Development 126:3359-3370 (1999).
- 26. Y. Tanabe, and T.M. Jessell, *Science* **274**:1115-23 (1996).
- 27. J. Thaler et al., Neuron 23:675-687 (1999).
- 28. T. Tsuchida, et al., Cell 79:957-970 (1994).
- 29. M. T. Valerius, H. Li, J.L. Stock, M. Weinstein, S. Kaur, G. Singh, S.S. Potter, Dev. Dyn. 203:337-51 (1995).
- 30. J. B. Weiss, T. Von Ohlen, D.M. Mellerick, G.
 Dressler, C.Q. Doe, M.P.Scott, Genes & Dev.
 12:3591-3602 (1998).